

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ENITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Enited States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/774,340	02/06/2004	Asutosh Nigam	8500-0256.10	5320	
23980	7590 02/08/2005		EXAMINER		
REED INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW GROUP 800 MENLO AVENUE, SUITE 210			JACKSON, MONIQUE R		
MENLO PARK, CA 94025			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		1773		
				DATE MAILED: 02/08/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/774,340	NIGAM, ASUTOSH
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Monique R Jackson	1773
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repepty within the statutory minimum of thirty and will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT ute, cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  HS from the mailing date of this communication.  INDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on      This action is FINAL.	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal matte	-
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-62 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest is/are allowed.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) 1-62 are subject to restriction and/or is/are objected to by the Examination of the drawing(s) filed on is/are:  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are:  Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the latest including the correction.	rawn from consideration.  or election requirement.  ner.  ccepted or b) objected to buse drawing(s) be held in abeyance ection is required if the drawing(s)	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). i) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Ap iority documents have been r au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage
Attachment(s)	"□·····	1070° 1101
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>		Mail Date  ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/774,340 Page 2

Art Unit: 1773

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claims 1-40 and 47-55, drawn to a process of producing an image, classified in

class 427, subclass 66.

II. Claims 41-46 and 56-62, drawn to a coated substrate, classified in class 428,

subclass 457.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions

are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be

used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be

made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the

process forms a substrate comprising a recording liquid however the product does not require a

recording liquid and can be made by a different process.

3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a

separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination

purposes as indicated is proper.

4. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of

the claimed opaque coating composition:

a. the combination of a monomeric polyacid and a monomeric polybase

b. the combination of a monomeric polyacid and a polymeric polybase

c. the combination of a polymeric polyacid and a monomeric polybase

The following further election is required:

Application/Control Number: 10/774,340

Art Unit: 1773

In addition to the election above, one compound must be selected for each of the compounds (i.e. monomeric polyacid, monomeric polybase, polymeric polybase, polymer polyacid) from the various compounds instantly claimed.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1-4, 6, 7 and 21-62 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Monique R Jackson whose telephone number is 571-272-1508. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays-Thursdays, 8:00AM-4:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Deborah Jones can be reached on 571-272-1535. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Monique R. Jackson Primary Examiner

Technology Center 1700

January 26, 2005